1 STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 2 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 3 NHPUC OCT19'15 AM 8:38 4 **September 30, 2015** - 1:27 p.m. Concord, New Hampshire 5 6 RE: DW 15-209 7 LAKES REGION WATER COMPANY: Request for Change in Rates. 8 (Prehearing conference) 9 PRESENT: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq. 10 (Presiding as Hearings Examiner) 11 12 Sandy Deno, Clerk 13 14 APPEARANCES: Reptg. Lakes Region Water Company: Justin C. Richardson, Esq. (Upton & Hatfield) 15 Reptg. Property Owners Association at 16 Suissevale, Inc.: Douglas L. Patch, Esq. (Orr & Reno) 17 Reptg. Residents of Judges Road Group: 18 Murray Movitz 19 Reptg. Indian Mound Property Owners Assn.: William Endres 20 Reptg. Hidden Valley Property Owners Assn.: 21 Mark Evitts 22 23 Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 24



1		
2	APPEARANCES:	(continued)
3		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
4		Susan Chamberlin, Esq., Consumer Advocate Pradip Chattopadhyay, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate
5		
6		Reptg. PUC Staff: Rorie E. Patterson, Esq.
7		Jayson Laflamme, Utility Analyst/Water Div.
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS RE: INTERVENTIONS BY:	
5	Hearings Examiner Sheehan	6
6	Ms. Patterson	7
7	Mr. Richardson	8, 9
8	* * *	
9	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
10	Mr. Richardson	13
11	Mr. Movitz	15
12	Mr. Patch	16
13	Mr. Endres	17
14	Ms. Chamberlin	17
15	Ms. Patterson	18
16	* * *	
17	STATEMENTS RE: MOTION FOR WAIVER OF RULE 1901.05	5 BY:
18	Hearings Examiner Sheehan	20
19	Ms. Patterson	20
20	Mr. Richardson	20
21		
22		
23		
24		

{DW 15-209} [Prehearing Conference] {09-30-15}

1 PROCEEDING

HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Good afternoon. My name is Mike Sheehan. I'm a Staff lawyer here at the Commission, and today I'll be serving as a Hearings Examiner for our prehearing conference.

This is Docket Number 15-209, Lakes

Region Water Company. It's a case where they are seeking
both a temporary and permanent rate increase. I see from
the order suspending rates that it's a request for an
almost \$400,000 rate increase in permanent rates, and a
temporary rate increase request of less than that.

I see that the affidavit of publication has been filed. And, we scheduled today's prehearing conference.

Why don't we begin by taking appearances of those people who are in the case, and then we'll go to the people who are seeking to intervene. So, first, the parties, this would be the Company, Staff, and the OCA, if you could please state your appearances.

MR. RICHARDSON: Sure. Sure. Thank

you. Justin Richardson, with the firm of Upton &

Hatfield. I'm here today on behalf of Lakes Region Water

Company. With me here today I have the Company's

President, Tom Mason; to his right is Ashley Mann, who is

```
1
       the Assistant Utility Finance Manager; Timothy Fontaine,
 2
       who is the Utility Finance Manager; behind us here is
 3
       Justin Mann [Benes?], who is the Field Operations
 4
       Supervisor; and Stephen St. Cyr, who is our rate
 5
       consultant.
 6
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
 7
       afternoon.
 8
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN: Good afternoon.
                                                           Susan
 9
       Chamberlin, Consumer Advocate. And, with me today is
10
      Pradip Chattopadhyay.
11
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Thank you.
12
                         MS. PATTERSON: Good afternoon. Rorie
13
                   I'm a Staff attorney for the Public Utilities
14
       Commission. And, with me today is Mr. Jayson Laflamme,
15
       who is a Utility Analyst in the Water Division.
16
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Thank you.
17
       I heard Ms. Patterson give a brief overview of what will
18
       happen this morning, and the next thing we will take up is
19
       interventions. We have received a number of written
20
       requests. I will go through them one at a time, and then
21
       see if there is anyone else here.
22
                         Just note that I cannot grant
23
       interventions, I will make recommendations. And, the
24
       Commission will have the ultimate authority to act on that
```

```
1
       recommendation.
 2
                         So, the first one in my pile, in no
 3
       particular order, is from "Residents of Judges Road".
 4
       And, it's a petition, with a signature page of 15 or 20
 5
       names on the back. Is there a person here representing
       that group? Yes, your name sir.
 6
 7
                         MR. MOVITZ: My name is Murray Movitz.
       I'm here representing my wife, Susan Riley, and myself.
 8
 9
      Mr. Craig Antonides, who has taken the lead on the Judges
10
       Road neighbors opposing this rate increase, could not make
11
       it today. He had to work. So, I am, with your
12
       permission, representing him and the other of my neighbors
13
       also.
14
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Okav. And,
15
       I did see yours came in a separate letter, but you are
16
      part of that same Judges Road group?
17
                         MR. MOVITZ: Yes, sir.
18
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: And, you're
19
       all ratepayers, as I understand it, of the water company?
20
                         MR. MOVITZ: Yes, sir.
21
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
22
       recommendation will be to grant that intervention request.
23
       My only question would be to have one or two people
24
       speaking for the group, so that, when we get to time of
```

```
1
       discovery and possibly a hearing, it's a little bit more
 2
       manageable. Is there any -- if you have an idea of who
 3
       will be the representative, you can let me know. If not,
 4
       that's something you can address later.
                         MR. MOVITZ: Well, I will probably be
 5
 6
       here at that time, possibly a number of other neighbors.
 7
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Okay. And,
       I'm sure --
 8
 9
                         [Court reporter interruption regarding
10
                         the microphone.]
11
                         MR. MOVITZ: It's on now. Sorry.
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Thank you.
12
13
                         MS. PATTERSON: Excuse me. If I might
14
       just point out, --
15
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Sure.
16
                         MS. PATTERSON: -- I did speak to --
17
       you'll have to pronounce his last name.
18
                         MR. MOVITZ: Craig Antonides.
                         MS. PATTERSON: Yes. I did speak to
19
20
       Mr. Antonides. And, I did explain to him that it would
21
       be -- it may be an expectation of the Commission that
22
       there be some consolidation of the intervenors to some
23
       extent, and that one person act as the point person for
24
       groups of intervenors. And, it's my understanding that he
```

```
1
       was -- he understood what I was saying.
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
 2
                                                     Thank you.
 3
                         MS. PATTERSON: Uh-huh.
 4
                         MR. RICHARDSON: If I may, Mr. Hearing
       Officer?
 5
 6
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
 7
                         MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you. I don't
       anticipate any objection from the Company. We did intend
 8
 9
       to request that the group in that system, which we call
10
       the "Waterville Gateway System", designate someone to be
11
       their representative, so, you know, we don't get five or
12
       six sets of data requests covering the same ground.
13
       that would be acceptable to the Company to have them
14
      participate with a -- through a representative.
15
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Okay.
                                                            Thank
16
       you. The next group was Suissevale Property Owners
17
      Association. I think that's Mr. Patch.
18
                         MR. PATCH: Yes. Good afternoon.
19
       Patch, from the law firm of Orr & Reno, on behalf of the
20
       Property Owners Association at Suissevale, Inc. And, with
21
      me this afternoon is Elaine Keating, who is the Business
22
       Manager.
23
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Thank you.
24
       And, we received electronically a request from the Indian
```

```
1
       Mound Property Owners Association. Is there a person or
      people from that group here? Yes.
 2
 3
                         MR. ENDRES: William Endres, owner.
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Your name
 4
       again, sir?
 5
 6
                         MR. ENDRES: William Endres, owner.
 7
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Owner of?
 8
                         MR. ENDRES: Property in Hidden Valley.
 9
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Okay.
10
       filing we got was from an Attorney Blais. Is he here?
11
      Anybody know about --
12
                         MR. RICHARDSON: Mr. Hearing Officer, if
13
       I may?
14
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
15
                         MR. RICHARDSON: I spoke with Attorney
16
       Blais, and he had what he described to me as "fairly
17
       invasive surgery" on Friday.
18
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Okay.
19
                         MR. RICHARDSON: I had had some
       communications with him, and he had originally understood
20
21
       the Order of Notice to be a final order. I then told him
22
       that we would assent to a petition to intervene by the
23
       Association, and kind of brought him up to speed on the
24
      process a little bit. I have not seen the petition to
```

```
1
       intervene.
                   But it is our intention to assent to it.
 2
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Like I said,
 3
       we received an electronic copy just within the hour from
 4
      Mr. Blais. So, your understanding is he will be speaking
 5
       on behalf of that group?
 6
                         MR. RICHARDSON:
                                         Yes.
                                                That's correct.
 7
       And, I understood, because of the circumstances, where he
 8
       had to basically rewrite the petition he intended to file,
 9
       and that he wasn't going to be able to do that. And, I
10
       told him that I knew he wouldn't be here today, but we
11
       would represent, you know, that to him, and that we
12
       intended to assent to their request to participate.
13
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Thank you.
14
       That's all I have notice of. Is there anyone else in the
15
       room, excuse me, that is seeking to intervene into this
16
       docket? The gentleman over there.
17
                         MR. EVITTS: Mark Evitts, on behalf of
18
       Hidden Valley Property Owners Association.
19
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Your last
20
       name again?
21
                         MR. EVITTS: Evitts, E-v-i-t-t-s.
22
       primary representative, David Smith, couldn't be here
23
       today due to some health problems and predetermined
24
       appointments that he had to attend in Georgia. So, I'm
```

```
1
       filling in.
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
 2
                                                     Sure.
                                                            It's
 3
       best that we get something in writing, your request to
 4
       intervene, on whoever -- signed by you or Mr. Smith, and
 5
       on behalf -- the group that you're speaking on behalf of.
 6
       If you could just briefly tell me who that group is now,
 7
       so we have it in the record?
 8
                         MR. EVITTS: Again, it's the ratepayers
       in Hidden Valley --
 9
10
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Okay.
11
                         MR. EVITTS: Association in Tuftonboro.
12
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Okay.
13
       making a written filing that gets sent to everyone, then
14
       everyone will have your contact information, and it makes
15
       it much easier. So, if you could follow that up with a
16
       written one. You could go onto the Docketbook on the
17
       Commission's website, you can see what other people have
18
       filed and take some clues from that.
19
                         MR. EVITTS: Okay. Thank you.
20
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Anyone else
       present today that hasn't already spoken or been spoken
21
22
       for?
23
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN: Excuse me.
24
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Sure.
```

```
1
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN:
                                          I believe Mr. Smith did
 2
       file an intervention, didn't he?
 3
                         MR. EVITTS: I believe he did, as you
 4
       were saying that.
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN: Well, I'm not sure.
 5
       I'll confer.
 6
 7
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Okay.
       don't have one.
 8
 9
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN: Okay.
10
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Not that
11
       that's the be-all and end-all. Okay.
12
                         And, since all the people proposing to
13
       intervene are ratepayers, and given the Company's
14
       non-objection, is there any other person who does object
15
       to any of these requests?
16
                         [No verbal response]
17
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Seeing none,
18
       I will recommend that they be granted. And, for today's
19
       purposes, I understand there's a tech session following
20
       this hearing, and those parties, who have not officially
21
       been granted intervention, I trust will be treated as if
22
       they are full parties for purposes of this afternoon's
23
       tech session.
24
                         The other thing we can do and are
```

```
1
       directed to do today is a brief statement of position of
 2
       each of the parties about this case, and where this case
 3
       will go. And, I always forget the order. Is that,
      Mr. Richardson, you go first?
 4
 5
                         MR. RICHARDSON: Certainly. Thank you.
 6
       What this case is really about, in our view, and I'll say
 7
       this as much for your benefit as for the residents that
       are here, is the Company's made tremendous investment into
 8
 9
       its water system since its last rate case, which was a
10
       2009 docket, based on a 2000 -- excuse me, 2010 docket,
11
       based on a 2009 test year, with some adjustments. So,
       38 percent sounds like a big increase, but a lot has
12
13
       happened since then.
14
                         The Company has put in approximately a
15
       quarter million dollars in improvements to its Indian
16
       Mound System that is expected to be in operation by the
17
       end of next week. $271,932 in just plant improvements on
18
       Mount Roberts, which is in the Suissevale system. And,
19
       there's a total of -- did I not cover Indian Mound?
20
                         (Atty. Richardson conferring with Mr.
21
                         Mason.)
22
                         MR. RICHARDSON: The Balmoral System,
23
            Thank you. And, we're looking at a total of, I
24
      believe, $608,470 in new plant since the last rate case.
```

So, that's -- the Company has done some really great work in improving its operations. But that's really why we're here, is because we need rate relief to continue to make those types of improvements.

And, we saw the request from the residents in Thornton, in the Waterville Gateway System, actually looked at one of our schedules, which is the Company's capital improvement plan for the next five to ten years. And, we have scheduled, in 2016, some pretty significant improvements and upgrades to that system as well.

The Company's allowed rate of return in its last rate case is 8.19 percent, including debt and equity. I believe the test year figures show that they earned close to 3.64. So, they're fairly deficient.

There has not been any dividends paid. There were some allegations of, you know, financial mismanagement in some of the letters that came in. But we're really looking forward to working with Staff, and we don't think that, you know, any of that is obviously true.

And, so, what this comes down to in the end is simply the law provides that the Company is entitled to not less than a reasonable return on its prudent investments in utility plant. And, we're here to

1 work with the parties to achieve that result. 2 HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Thank you. 3 I will give the intervenors a chance to make a statement. 4 Understand that I am not the one that's going to be 5 deciding this case. It will be the Commission that 6 decides the case. But, if you would like to give a brief 7 statement of your position, you're welcome to do so. And, you do not have to, but it's an opportunity to at least 8 9 let the parties know what your concerns are. 10 Mr. Movitz, do you have any desire to 11 make an opening statement? 12 Thank you, sir. I'm not MR. MOVITZ: 13 going to make a lengthy statement. I believe that some 14 letters have been sent in. I have an additional letter as 15 a supplement for the Lakes Region Water Company, which

I guess one of our main issues with this is just what was stated a few moments ago. That there is substantial sums paid for improvements to Indian Mound, Hidden Valley, Suissevale. We're not part of this. We're in our own little niche over on Judges Road and the Gateway Project. And, we don't feel that we should be paying for improvements in other areas that are not part

of our system. That's one of our main objections.

I'll give to them before we leave.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
1
                         In addition to that, I understand that
 2
       there have been something like nine rate increases in the
 3
       past four or five years, and many of our residents are
 4
       saying "enough is enough". Thank you very much, sir.
 5
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Thank you.
 6
       And, I should note that the comments that have been sent
 7
       in are part of the Commission record. And, they will be
       reviewed by the Commissioners as well.
 8
 9
                         Mr. Evitts, anything you'd like to say
10
       this afternoon?
11
                         MR. EVITTS: Not at this time.
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Mr. Patch?
12
13
                                           Thank you. I think,
                         MR. PATCH: Yes.
14
       for the most part, Suissevale's position is laid out in
15
       the petition to intervene. But, just briefly, I'd like to
16
       mention that, as I think the parties are aware, Suissevale
17
       is under a 30-year, basically, a wholesale water contract
18
       with the Company. It's been in effect since 2006. It was
19
       approved by the Commission as a special contract.
20
                         And, while the rate that Suissevale pays
21
       would not be established in this proceeding or directly
22
       affected by this proceeding, the rate is determined under
23
       the terms of that contract, and it is based on the
24
       expenses of the Company. And, as the Company noted in the
```

```
1
       filing that it made in this case, it anticipates an
       increase of about $65,000 a year under that special
 2
       contract to Suissevale. And, so, Suissevale has concerns
 3
       about that.
 4
 5
                         And, at this point in time, you know,
 6
       other than that, there's probably not an awful lot more to
 7
       say.
 8
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Thank you.
       And, I apologize, I forgot the gentleman's name from
 9
10
      Mr. Blais's group. Do you have anything you would like to
11
       say?
12
                         MR. ENDRES: Yes. William Endres.
13
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Sure.
14
       Endres.
15
                         MR. ENDRES: Just only concerned with
16
       the high rates. The rates, they keep going up. Our
17
      property, we won't even be able to sell our cottage, if
18
       the water is too expensive.
19
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Okay.
20
                         MR. ENDRES: That's my concern.
21
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Thank you,
22
       sir. Ms. Chamberlin.
23
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN:
                                          Thank you. We are
24
       looking forward to investigating all the data that will be
```

```
1
       developed as part of this case. We are concerned about
       the large rate increase being proposed. We are also
 2
 3
       concerned about the allocation. And, we are concerned
 4
       about the investments surrounding the Mount Roberts water
 5
       supply.
 6
                         So, all of those, and whatever else
 7
       comes up as we undertake discovery, we will be
       investigating.
 8
 9
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN:
                                                     Thank you.
10
       And, Ms. Patterson.
11
                         MS. PATTERSON: Thank you. Staff does
12
       not have a position on the Company's filing at this time.
13
       They have filed for temporary rates, as well as permanent
14
       rates, and we have a position on neither at this time.
15
                         Staff will construct its usual thorough
16
       investigation of the Company's filing and requests.
                                                            Ιt
17
       will conduct discovery, and make recommendations in
18
       testimony concerning issues, such as revenue requirement,
19
       rate of return, and rate design.
                         It's Staff's expectation that the
20
21
       technical session that follows today will be used to
22
       develop a procedural schedule, which we'll be filing with
23
       the Commission within the next few days for approval.
```

Some of the issues that Staff will be

1 looking at during the course of their investigation include the nature and prudency of the Company's affiliate 2 3 relationships, and the appropriateness of the compensation paid to the Company's employees. The Staff will be 4 5 investigating various items of fixed plant, including 6 items either purchased or constructed during 2015, that 7 the Company is proposing to be placed in rate base, and 8 will be looking at the prudency and appropriateness of 9 that recovery. 10 Staff will also be taking a close look 11 at the Company's proposed rate of return, and the 12 appropriate levels of the components of that rate of 13 return which make up the number. 14 Finally, the Company has proposed for 15 inclusion in rates the costs associated with land and 16 equipment at the so-called "Mount Roberts" property. The 17 property in question was owned by Lakes Region's 18 shareholders. Therefore, special scrutiny will be placed 19 on the costs associated with these transactions, 20 especially the Company's proposal to accrue AFUDC as part 21 of the acquisition costs. Staff will also be 22 investigating the prudency of these transactions. Thank

HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Thank you.

23

24

you.

```
1
       Unless anyone has anything further, I will leave and let
       you guys get into your tech session. I thank you for your
 2
 3
       time. Yes?
 4
                         MS. PATTERSON: My only comment would
 5
       be, the Company has filed -- the Company has filed a
       motion for a waiver of Rule 1901.05, it's a rate case
 6
 7
       expense filing deadline. I don't know if that's something
       that we want to take up today or --
 8
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Sure.
 9
                                                            I did
       have that at the bottom of my list. I read that. It
10
11
       makes sense to me. The gist of it was, you didn't file it
12
       initially, because you didn't have all the numbers, you
13
       filed it recently.
14
                         Is there any objection to the waiver
15
       that they've requested by any party?
16
                         MS. PATTERSON: No objection.
                                                       It's my
17
       understanding that they're going to provide the
18
       information as soon as they get it. So, we have no
19
       objection. Thank you.
20
                         HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Anyone else
21
       have a statement on that?
22
                         MR. RICHARDSON:
                                          The record should
23
       reflect that I think I was in a canoe at the time the rate
```

case was filed, and it was close to the end of the month.

1	But, no, we'd really appreciate it if a
2	recommendation comes out of that, because that is it's
3	an unusual circumstance. I think we're one of the first
4	utilities to really come in under the rule and try to
5	figure out how to comply with it. So, any guidance is
6	always appreciated. And, we'll talk about that at the
7	technical conference as well.
8	HEARINGS EXAMINER SHEEHAN: Great.
9	Thank you. Thank you very much.
10	[Whereupon the prehearing conference was
11	adjourned at 1:46 p.m., and a technical
12	session was held thereafter.]
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	